



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20221
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/900,507	07/06/2001	Gary J. Oleynick	003A.0005.U1(US)	4180
29683 75	590 04/11/2003			
HARRINGTON & SMITH, LLP		EXAMINER ·		
4 RESEARCH SHELTON, CT			LEON, EDWIN A	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2833	
			DATE MAILED: 04/11/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Application No. Office Action Summary Examiner Edwin A. León 2833 The MAILING DATE of this communication app ars on th cover sh et with th correspondence addr ss Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filled, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	n.				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app ars on th cover sh et with th correspondence addr ss Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any	n.				
Edwin A. León 2833 The MAILING DATE of this communication app ars on th cover sh et with th correspondence addr ss Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any	n.				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app ars on th cover sh et with th correspondence addr ss Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any	n.				
Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any	n.				
 THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statuperiod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any 	n.				
Status					
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>14 March 2003</u> .					
2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims A) M. Claim(a) 1 12 and 14 24 in/ore pending in the application					
4) Claim(s) 1-12 and 14-34 is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6) Claim(s) 1-12 and 14-34 is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.					
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.					
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a) All b) Some * c) None of:					
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No				
 Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional applicat	on).				
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.					
Attachment(s)	•				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)					

Application/Control Number: 09/900,507 Page 2

Art Unit: 2833

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on March 14, 2003 has been entered as Paper No. 12.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-12 and 14-34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wu (U.S. Patent No. 6,027,375) in view of Davis et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5,295,843). With regard to Claims 1, 6, 9, 11-12, 14, 17-18, 20, 23, 26-27, and 29-31, Wu discloses an electrical connector comprising: electrical contacts (5,6) comprising signal contacts (6) and power contacts (5); and a housing (2) having the electrical

Application/Control Number: 09/900,507

Art Unit: 2833

contacts (5,6) connected thereto, the housing (2) comprising at least two vertically arranged electrical plug receiving areas (21,22), wherein the signal contacts (6) extend into one of the receiving areas (21,22) in a universal serial bus (USB) location configuration, and wherein the power contacts (5) extend into the other of the receiving areas (21,22). See Figs. 1-5.

Wu doesn't show the signal contacts extending into the receiving areas and the power contacts extending into the receiving areas.

Davis et al. discloses an electrical connector (2) having signal contacts (5) extending into a receiving area (26), and power contacts (6) extending into the same receiving area (26). See Fig. 11.

It would have been obvious to one of with ordinary skill in the art to modify the electrical connector of Wu by including the signal contacts and the power contacts extending into the same receiving area as taught in Davis et al. to add the transmission or signal functions in to the connector's areas without increasing its size.

With regard to Claim 2, Wu discloses the signal contacts (6) comprising spring contact sections (51,61) extending into the plug receiving areas (21,22), tails (53,63) extending from a bottom side of the housing (2), and bent sections therebetween. See Figs. 1-5.

With regard to Claim 3, Wu discloses the spring contact sections (61) of the signal contacts (6) extend into two of the plug receiving areas (21,22) in opposite directions. See Figs. 1-5.

Application/Control Number: 09/900,507

Art Unit: 2833

·

With regard to Claim 5, Wu discloses the spring contact sections (51) of the power contacts (5) extending into two of directions. See Figs. 1-5.

With regard to Claim 7, Wu discloses the electrical contacts (5,6) extending into a first one of the plug receiving areas (21,22) are arranged as a substantially mirror image to the electrical contacts (5,6) extending into a plug receiving area (21,22) comprises four of the signal contacts (6) extending there into and two of the power contacts (5) extending there into opposite the four signal contacts (6). See Figs. 1-5.

With regard to Claim 10, Wu discloses the housing (2) comprising projections (3,4) extending into the receiving areas (21,22) in a forward direction, portions of the signal contacts (6) extending through cavities along the projections (3,4), and ends of the signal contacts (6) being preloaded against sections of the projections (3,4). See Figs. 1-5.

With regard to Claim 15-16, Wu discloses the receiving areas (21,22) extending into a front side of the housing (2), and wherein ends of the contacts (5,6) extend from a bottom side of the housing (2). See Figs. 1-5.

With regard to Claim 19, Wu discloses the housing (2) comprising a section (between 21 and 22) located between and separating the two plug receiving areas (21,22) from each other. See Figs. 1-5.

With regard to Claim 21, Wu discloses the power contacts (5) extending from the section (between 21 and 22) in opposite directions into the two receiving areas (21,22). See Figs. 1-5.

Art Unit: 2833

With regard to Claim 22, Wu discloses the signal contacts (6) extending into the first and second receiving areas (21,22) in respective opposite inward directions. See Figs. 1-5.

With regard to Claim 24, Wu discloses the housing (2) comprising two projections (3,4) extending towards a front end of the housing (2) above and below a center projection (225) of the housing (2), and wherein the signal contacts (6) extend through the two projections (3,4) and project out of the two projections (3,4) and project out of the two projections (3,4) in opposite directions towards the center projection (225). See Figs. 1-5.

With regard to Claim 25, Wu discloses the power contacts (5) extending through and out of the center projection (225) in opposite directions into the two plug receiving areas (21,22). See Figs. 1-5.

With regard to Claim 28, Wu discloses the signal contacts (6) extend into the receiving areas (21,22) in a same direction. See Figs. 1-5.

With regard to Claim 32, Wu discloses the signal contacts (6) and the power contacts (5) extending into the first receiving area section in opposite directions. See Figs. 1-5.

With regard to Claim 33, Wu discloses the first and second receiving area sections (21,22) each comprising a deck receiving area, and a common power contact section receiving area is located between the deck receiving areas (21,22). See Figs. 1-5.

Art Unit: 2833

With regard to Claim 34, Wu discloses a universal serial bus (USB) electrical connector plug (9) comprising: a signal contact supporting deck (93,98); electrical signal conductors (97) directly stationarily attached to a first side (98) of the supporting deck (93,98); and electrical power conductors (95) directly stationarily attached to an opposite second side (93) of the supporting deck (93,98), wherein the supporting deck (93,98) is sized and shaped to be inserted into a supporting deck receiving aperture (21,22) of a USB electrical connector receptacle (1), and wherein the electrical signal conductors (97) are aligned in a USB contact array. See Fig. 5.

Wu doesn't show the electrical signal and power conductors directly stationarily attached to exterior sides of the supporting deck.

Davis et al. discloses a connector plug (1) having electrical signal (4) and power (6) conductors directly stationarily attached to exterior sides of a supporting deck (7). See Fig. 1

Thus, it would have been obvious with ordinary skill in the art to modify the electrical connector of Wu by having the electrical signal and power conductors directly stationarily attached to exterior sides of the supporting deck as taught in Davis et al. to add the transmission or signal functions in to the connector's areas without increasing its size.

Page 7

Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments filed March 14, 2003 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. In response to Applicant's arguments regarding Claim 34 that the Wu reference doesn't show a supporting deck, Applicant is reminded that the claim recites having a deck but does not claim that the deck has to be a single deck. In the instant case, the Wu reference discloses 93 and 98, that can be considered a deck having two parts which would read on Applicant's limitations.

In response to Applicant's arguments regarding Claims 1, 11, and 18 that the references do not show the power contacts extend into the other of the receiving areas opposite to the signal contacts, Applicant's attention is directed to Fig. 1 of Wu, in which the power (5) and signal (6) contacts are arranged opposite to each other. Applican tis reminded that the power contacts (5) are arranged in an upper side and the signal contacts (6) are arranged in a lower side, which by definition would mean that they are located in opposite sides. By combining this arrangement with the teaching of Davis et al. of having the signal contacts and the power contacts extending into the same receiving area, the power and signal contacts of Wu would be kept in the same opposite arrangement but in the same receiving area as taught in Davis et al. This combination is believed to read in Applicant's claims.

In response to Applicant's arguments regarding Claims 26 and 29 that the Examiner has not given patentable weight to the limitation "the at least one plug receiving area is sized and shaped to receive the plurality of USB plugs with signal contact supporting decks of two of the plugs", Applicant is reminded that the electrical plugs are not positively recited since it has been held that a recitation with respect to the manner in which a claimed apparatus is intended to be employed does not differentiate the claimed apparatus from a prior art apparatus satisfying the claimed structural limitations. *Ex. parte Masham*, 2 USPQ2d 1647 (1987). Therefore, the limitations regarding the plugs have not been given patentable weight. Applicant is reminded that these claims are directed to the connector receptacle and not to the plugs.

Conclusion

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Edwin A. León whose telephone number is (703) 308-6253. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday 9:00-5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Paula A. Bradley can be reached on (703) 308-2319. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 308-7722 for regular communications and (703) 308-7722 for After Final communications.

Page 9

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

hugh hi h

Edwin A. Leon AU 2833

EAL

April 04, 2003

RENEE LUEBKE PRIMARY EXAMINER